

ÁÛÆÆÉ/Estd: 1983

zÀÆgÀÁtÂ ,ÀASÉâ:08475-273045



°ÉÊ.PÀ.?, ÀA, ÉÜAiÀÄ
²æÃªÄÄw.a£ÀßªÄÄ §, À¶Àà .¶ÁnÃ® PÀ- ÁªÄÄvÄÄÛªÁtÂdå
¶ÀzÀ«
ªÄÄ°Á«zÁå®AiÄÄ, aAZÉÆÃ½-585307 f: PÀ®\$ÄVð-PÀ£ÁðIPÀ gÁdå
H.K.E. Society's
**SMT.CHINNAMMA BASAPPA PATIL ARTS AND COMMERCE
DEGREE COLLEGE, CHINCHOLI-585307 Dist. KALABURGI
(AFFILIATED TO GULBARGA UNIVERSITY KALABURGI)**

PÀæªAiÁAPÀ/Ref.No:HKES/ACCC/2022-23/

Date : _____

Department of Economics


STUDENTS FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT

2021-22

Year- 2021-22


	Department of Economics	BA I st Semester					
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	36	100.00		0.00		
2	was the content understandable	33	91.67	3	8.33		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	32	88.89	4	11.11		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	30	83.33	6	16.67		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocability	32	88.89	4	11.11		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	35	97.22	1	2.78		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	36	100.00		0.00		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	36	100.00		0.00		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	36	100.00		0.00		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	32	88.89	4	11.11		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	34	94.44	2	5.56		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	36	100.00		0.00		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						

BA Ist Semester




		BA III rd Semester					
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	23	100.00		0.00		
2	was the content understandable	23	100.00		0.00		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	22	95.65	1	4.35		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	19	82.61	4	17.39		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocability	18	78.26	5	21.74		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	18	78.26	5	21.74		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	17	73.91	6	26.09		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	22	95.65	1	4.35		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	23	100.00		0.00		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	23	100.00		0.00		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	20	86.96	3	13.04		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	21	91.30	2	8.70		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						

BA IIIrd Semester




		BA V th Semester					
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	32	100.00		0.00		
2	was the content understandable	30	93.75	2	6.25		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	31	96.88	1	3.13		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	32	100.00		0.00		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocability	28	87.50	4	12.50		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	27	84.38	5	15.63		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	30	93.75	2	6.25		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	32	100.00		0.00		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	30	93.75	2	6.25		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	31	96.88	1	3.13		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	28	87.50	4	12.50		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	32	100.00		0.00		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						

BA VthSemester



				Grand Total			
				Year - 2021-22			
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	122	100.00	0	0.00		
2	was the content understandable	114	93.44	8	6.56		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	110	90.16	12	9.84		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	111	90.98	11	9.02		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocability	109	89.34	13	10.66		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	111	90.98	11	9.02		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	112	91.80	10	8.20		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	121	99.18	1	0.82		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	117	95.90	5	4.10		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	115	94.26	7	5.74		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	113	92.62	9	7.38		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	120	98.36	2	1.64		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						

**Grand Total
Year - 2021-22**



ANALYSIS OF STUDENT'S FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT -2021-22

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

The department of economics received feedback from Ist, IInd and IIIrd year Students through online and offline process, on the basis of **student's feedback, the analysis is as follows.**

- The feedback of the students on teaching method was good and positive.
- Feedback on teaching efficiency was excellent.
- The presentation was qualitative and understandable.
- The analysis of the student feedback depicts that they are well satisfied with the curriculum delivery and revision.
- Students given excellent on teacher presentation by using ICT.
- The feedback analyse that interaction was good but need more enthusiastic contents.

Action Taken Report:

The following action required to update the students for competitive world

- To improve further and further the department of economics arranging special lecture on current issues.
- Plan to conduct project work, to update and explore the students to competitive market.
- Plan to conduct industrial visit.
- Regularly using new methods to give qualitative and practical thoughts on current issues.
- Teachers are advised to use more and more ICT tools to encourage the students.
- The department of economics plant to organise entrepreneurship programme every academic year.