

ÁÛÆÆÉ/Estd: 1983

zÀÆgÀÁtÂ ,ÀASÉâ:08475-273045



°ÉÊ.PÀ.¿,ÀA,ÉÜAiÀÄ  
²æÃªÄÄw.a£ÀßªÄÄ §,À¶Àà.¶ÁnÃ® PÀ- ÁªÄÄvÄÄÛªÁtÂdå  
¶ÀzÀ«  
ªÄÄ°Á«zÁå®AiÄÄ, aAZÉÆÃ½-585307 f: PÀ®\$ÄVð-PÀ£ÁðIPÀ gÁdå  
H.K.E. Society's  
**SMT.CHINNAMMA BASAPPA PATIL ARTS AND COMMERCE  
DEGREE COLLEGE, CHINCHOLI-585307 Dist. KALABURGI  
(AFFILIATED TO GULBARGA UNIVERSITY KALABURGI)**

PÀæªÄiÁAPÀ/Ref.No:HKES/ACCC/2022-23/

Date : \_\_\_\_\_

# **Department of Economics**


## **STUDENTS FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

**2020-21**

**Year- 2020-21**


	Department of Economics	BA I <sup>st</sup> Semester Year-2020-21					
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	19	100.00		0.00		
2	was the content understandable	19	100.00		0.00		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	19	100.00		0.00		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	17	89.47	2	10.53		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocability	19	100.00		0.00		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	19	100.00		0.00		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	17	89.47	2	10.53		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	19	100.00		0.00		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	18	94.74	1	5.26		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	18	94.74	1	5.26		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	19	100.00		0.00		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	18	94.74	1	5.26		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						

# BA I<sup>st</sup>Semester




		BA III <sup>rd</sup> Semester					
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	22	100.00		0.00		
2	was the content understandable	22	100.00		0.00		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	21	95.45	1	4.55		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	22	100.00		0.00		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocabulary	21	95.45	1	4.55		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	19	86.36	3	13.64		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	22	100.00		0.00		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	20	90.91	2	9.09		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	22	100.00		0.00		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	22	100.00		0.00		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	22	100.00		0.00		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	22	100.00		0.00		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						

### BA III<sup>rd</sup> Semester



		BA V <sup>th</sup> Semester					
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	20	100.00		0.00		
2	was the content understandable	20	100.00		0.00		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	18	90.00	2	10.00		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	18	90.00	2	10.00		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocability	20	100.00		0.00		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	20	100.00		0.00		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	18	90.00	2	10.00		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	20	100.00		0.00		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	19	95.00	1	5.00		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	20	100.00		0.00		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	20	100.00		0.00		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	20	100.00		0.00		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						


BA V<sup>th</sup> Semester



				Grand Total			
				Year - 2020-21			
Si.No	Contents	Excellent	Percent	Good	Percent	Satisfactory	Un satisfactory
1	was the content presentation is interesting	61	100.00	0	0.00		
2	was the content understandable	61	100.00	0	0.00		
3	was there clarity of the presentation	58	95.08	3	4.92		
4	how far lecturer meet your expectation useful	57	93.44	4	6.56		
5	how did you find the lecturer Vocability	60	98.36	1	1.64		
6	how far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	58	95.08	3	4.92		
7	how far lecturer managed to lecturer your attention	57	93.44	4	6.56		
8	how far lecturer encouraged to interaction	59	96.72	2	3.28		
9	were you satisfied with the lecturer	59	96.72	2	3.28		
10	was the lecturer able to answer your questions	60	98.36	1	1.64		
11	what is your overall performance about the lecturer	61	100.00	0	0.00		
12	appropriate use of audio visual aids	60	98.36	1	1.64		
13	what suggestions do you have to improve the lecturer						

**Grand Total, Year - 2020-21**





## ANALYSIS OF STUDENT'S FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT -2020-21

## DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

The department of economics received feedback from I<sup>st</sup>, II<sup>nd</sup> and III<sup>rd</sup> year Students through online and offline process, on the basis of **student's feedback, the analysis is as follows.**

- The course content presentation was really interesting, 100% of the students are satisfied.
- Frequently the teachers are using the new method of teaching to give qualitative and practical education.
- Presentation and updating the curriculum is really up to the mark.
- 100% of the student's feedback is excellent on the performance of department of economics.

### **Action Taken Report:**

#### **The following action required to update the students for competitive world**

- Because of Covid -19 the department of economics conducting online classes for all year students, to make them actively by using technology that is google meet and zoom app and thereby we are cultivating the students to use the technology in their academic activities.
- The students are encouraged participating in field work to improve the skill.
- The department of economics conducting Seminars by the resource person to improve the student's ability and capacity.
- ICT classes were conducting.