




H.K.E. SOCIETY'S
SMT. CHINNAMMA BASAPPA PATIL ARTS AND COMMERCE
DEGREE COLLEGE CHINCHOLI

Analysis of the student feedback On Teacher 2017-2018

The students are more important stakeholders of higher Education systems The interest and participation of students at all levels in both IQAC and External quality assurance have to play a central role . We have collected feedback from our students on curriculum and teaching Development on faculty performance and subject Wise for the Academic Year of 2017-2018 Bach wise analysis of students feed back as mentioned belowed .

Department	Academic year	very good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	percentage
Sociology	2017-2018	82%	18%	-	-	100%
Political Science	2017-2018	86%	14%	-	-	100%
Economics	2017-2018	88%	12%	-	-	100%
Commerce	2017-2018	79%	s21%	-	-	100%
History	2017-2018	93%	7%	-	-	100%
Kannada	2017-2018	81%	19%	-	-	100%
English	2017-2018	91%	9%	-	-	100%
Rural development	2017-2018	80%	20%	-	-	100%
Urdu	2017-2018	87%	13%	-	-	100%
Hindi	2017-2018	88%	12%	-	-	100%



IQAC Co-Ordinator
H.K.E.'S Smt. C.B.Patil Arts & Commerce
Degree College, Chincholi


PRINCIPAL
H.K.E.'S Smt. C.B. Patil
Degree College
Chincholi Dist. Kalaburagi



2017-18

- Sociology 2020-21 29[66%] 15[34%] - -
- Political Science 2020-21 28[70%] 12[30%] - -
- Economics 2020-21 40[65%] 21[35%] - -
- Commerce 2020-21 245[66%] 107[29%] 18[5%] -
- History 2020-21 31[75%] 10[25%] - -
- Kannada 2020-21 26[47%] 22[40.5%] 05[9.5%] 2[3%]
- English 2020-21 29[56.70%] 22[43.3%] - -



College Committed Impart Quality Higher education by offering UG, degree's the systemetically designed questionnaire is used to collect the information relating to the curriculum and its relevance from student for each question score is given scale from very good, good,satisfactory and unsatisfactory in below following subjects In the year of 2017-2018 department of Sociology got feedback on curriculum like this 82% of students stated curriculum is excellent. Where as 18%of students stated curriculum is good. Department of Political science cumculum is 86% excellent and 14% good .As well as Department of Economics 88% got Excellent and 12% curriculum is good. In the Department of commerce got 79%excellent 21%good and from the students. As well as department of History got 93% excellent and 7% good .While taking Kannada department it has got 81%excellent and 19% good .As well as Department of English got 91% excellent and 9 % good from students.Then Rural development Department got 80% excellent and 20% good feedback on curriculum. Department of Urdu 87% excellent and 13%good and ultimately department of Hindi got 88% excellent and12% good feedback on curriculum.

ICAC Co-Ordinator
HKES Smt. C.B. Patil Arts, & Commerce
Degree College, Chincholi

PRINCIPAL
HKES's Smt. C.B. Patil
Degree College
Chincholi Dist. Kalaburagi

2017-18

25

SMT. C.B. PATIL ARTS AND COMMERCE DEGREE COLLEGE CHINCHOLI
FEED BACK FORM

Name of the Faculty ಡಾ|| ನಿರಂಜನಾ ಪಿಳ್ಳೆName of the Student/Observer ರವಿಶ್ಯಾಮ್ ಸಂ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್Class III SemSubject ಇತಿಹಾಸ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

CONTENT

Was the content presentation is interesting ವಿಷಯ ಆಸಕ್ತಿಕರವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the content understandable ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was there clarity of the presentation ವಿಷಯ ಮಂಡನೆಯ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer meet your expectation ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಆಶಯ/ನಂಬಿಕೆಯು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far you found the lecturer useful ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How did you find the lecturer vacubularity ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ಪದಸಂಗ್ರಹವು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer managed to capture your attention ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer encouraged to interaction ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ತರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳುವಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Where you satisfied with the lecturer ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸ ನಿಮಗೆ ತೃಪ್ತಕರವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the lecturer able to answer your questions ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What is your overall performance about the lecturer ಒಟ್ಟಾರೆಯಾಗಿ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ	Good ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	OK ತೃಪ್ತಕರ
Appropriate use of Audio-Visual aids ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ದೃಶ್ಯ-ಶ್ರವಣ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳ ಉಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What suggestion do you have to improve the lecturer approach? ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಲಹೆಗಳು	<u>ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟವಿಧಿ ಕೋಶ ವಿಷಯ ಯಾವುದೇ.</u>			

IQAC Co-Ordinator

Smt. C.B. Patil Arts & Commerce
Degree College, Chincholi

PRINCIPAL

HKES's Smt. C.B. Patil
Degree College
Chincholi - Dist. Kalaburagi

Student overall Evaluation of the programme and teaching

Teacher: *Shreemati Sevita*



Course: *B*

Department: *Economics*

Year: *2016*

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.

1. The syllabus of each course was
a) Adequate b) Inadequate c) Challenging d) Dull
2. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a) Easy b) Manageable c) Difficult d) Very difficult
3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) Less than 55%
4. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
a) More than Adequate b) Adequate
c) Inadequate d) Very poor
5. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?
a) Thoroughly b) Satisfactorily c) Poorly d) Indifferently
6. Which of the following methods were used?
a) Encouraged to raise questions b) Get involved in discussion in class
c) Encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
7. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
a) Very Helpful b) Sometimes helpful
c) Not at all helpful d) did not advise
8. The teacher's approach can best be described as?
a) Always courteous b) Sometimes rude
c) Always indifferent d) cannot say
9. Internal assessment was?
a) Always fair b) Sometimes unfair
c) Usually unfair d) Sometimes fair
10. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a) Regularly / intime b) With helpful comment
c) Often / late d) Without any comments
11. Were your assignments discussed with you?
a) Yes Fully b) Yes, Partly
c) Not discussed at all d) Sometimes discussed
12. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.



FEED BACK FORM

Name of the Faculty ಶ್ರೀಯಾಚಾರ್ಯ ರತ್ನಾಚಾರ್ಯ

Name of the Student/Observer ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಾಪ್ಪ ಕುಮಾರ್

Class B.A 4sem Subject ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಣಾತ್ಮಕ

CONTENT				
Was the content presentation is interesting	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the content understandable	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was there clarity of the presentation	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer meet your expectation	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far you found the lecturer useful	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How did you find the lecturer vacabularity	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer managed to capture your attention	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer encouraged to interaction	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Where you satisfied with the lecturer	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the lecturer able to answer your questions	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What is your overall performance about the lecturer	Good ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	OK ತೃಪ್ತಿಕರ
Appropriate use of Audio-Visual aids	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What suggestion do you have to improve the lecturer approach?	<p>Over all good "ATTESTED" ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಹೆಚ್.ಕೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸಿ.ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ ಪದವಿ ಮಹಾವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಚಿಂಚೋಳಿ ಬಿಿಿ ಕಲಬುರಗಿ</p>			

2017-18



SMT. C.B. PATIL ARTS AND COMMERCE DEGREE COLLEGE CHINCHOLI
FEED BACK FORM

Name of the Faculty Dr. Shivraj G. mathName of the Student/Observer Shardha Bai/o NarasappaClass B.A.IIthSubject history

CONTENT

Was the content presentation is interesting ವಿಷಯ ಆಸಕ್ತಿಕರವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the content understandable ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was there clarity of the presentation ವಿಷಯ ಮಂಡನೆಯು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer meet your expectation ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಆಶಯ/ಸಂಬಂಧವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far you found the lecturer useful ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How did you find the lecturer vacabularity ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ಪದಸಂಗ್ರಹವು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer managed to capture your attention ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer encouraged to interaction ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ತರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳುವಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Where you satisfied with the lecturer ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸ ನಿಮಗೆ ತೃಪ್ತಕರವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the lecturer able to answer your questions ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What is your overall performance about the lecturer ಒಟ್ಟಾರೆಯಾಗಿ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ	Good ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	OK ತೃಪ್ತಿಕರ
Appropriate use of Audio-Visual aids ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ದೃಶ್ಯ-ಶ್ರವಣ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳ ಉಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What suggestion do you have to improve the lecturer approach? ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಲಹೆಗಳು	Nothing			

"ATTESTED"

IQAC Co-Ordinator
HKES's Smt. C.B. Patil Arts & Commerce
Degree College, Chincholi

PRINCIPAL
HKES's Smt. C.B. Patil
Degree College
Chincholi Dist. Kalaburagi



**SMT. C.B. PATIL ARTS AND COMMERCE DEGREE COLLEGE CHINCHOLI
FEED BACK FORM**

Name of the Faculty Dr. e.v Kalaburagi

Name of the Student/Observer Dhanraj

Class Ist Sem Subject Rural Development

CONTENT

Was the content presentation is interesting ವಿಷಯ ಆಸಕ್ತಿಕರವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the content understandable ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was there clarity of the presentation ವಿಷಯ ಮಂಡನೆಯು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer meet your expectation ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಆಶಯ/ನಂಬಿಕೆಯು ಉಳಿಸುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far you found the lecturer useful ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How did you find the lecturer vacabularity ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ಪದಸಂಗ್ರಹವು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer appeared enthusiastic about subject ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
How far lecturer managed to capture your attention ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	High ಉನ್ನತ ದರ್ಜೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	Low ಕಳೆದರ್ಜೆ
How far lecturer encouraged to interaction ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ತರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳುವಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?	Always ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Where you satisfied with the lecturer ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸ ನಿಮಗೆ ತೃಪ್ತಕರವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
Was the lecturer able to answer your questions ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What is your overall performance about the lecturer ಒಟ್ಟಾರೆಯಾಗಿ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ	Good ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ	OK ತೃಪ್ತಿಕರ
Appropriate use of Audio-Visual aids ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ದೃಶ್ಯ-ಶ್ರವಣ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳ ಉಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?	Yes ಹೌದು	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sometime ಯಾವಾಗಲಾದರು	NO ಇಲ್ಲ
What suggestion do you have to improve the lecturer approach? ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಲಹೆಗಳು	v good			

"ATTESTED"

(Signature)

IQAC Co-Ordinator
Smt. C.B. Patil Arts & Commerce
Degree College, Chincholi

PRINCIPAL
HKES's Smt. C.B. Patil
Degree College
Chincholi Dist. Kalaburagi

HKE Society'S
Smt. C.B. Patil Arts And Commerce Degree College



Student Feedback on Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Jyoti Patil

Department: Arts & Commerce

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.

- A - Very Good
- B - Good
- C - Satisfactory
- D - Unsatisfactory

Sl. No	Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)		✓		
2.	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)		✓		
3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher				
4.	Interest generated by the teacher		✓		
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issues, to provide a broader perspective.		✓		
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses		✓		
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)		✓		
8.	Ability to design quizzes / Tests / Assignments / Examinations and Projects to evaluate students understanding of the course.		✓		
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback		✓		
10.	Overall rating		✓		

"ATTESTED"

IQAC Co-ordinator

Smt. C.B. Patil Arts & Commerce Degree College, Chincholi

PRINCIPAL
 HKES's Smt. C.B. Patil Degree College
 Chincholi Dist. Kalaburagi

HKE Society'S
Smt. C.B. Patil Arts And Commerce Degree College

Student overall Evaluation of the programme and teaching

Teacher: Pamprishnu T

Course:

Department: English

Year: 2017-18

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.



1. The syllabus of each course was
a) Adequate b) Inadequate c) Challenging d) Dull
2. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a) Easy b) Manageable c) Difficult d) Very difficult
3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) Less than 55%
4. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
a) More than Adequate b) Adequate
c) Inadequate d) Very poor
5. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?
a) Thoroughly b) Satisfactorily c) Poorly d) Indifferently
6. Which of the following methods were used?
a) Encouraged to raise questions b) Get involved in discussion in class
c) Encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
7. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
a) Very Helpful b) Sometimes helpful
c) Not at all helpful d) did not advise
8. The teacher's approach can best be described as?
a) Always courteous b) Sometimes rude
c) Always indifferent d) cannot say
9. Internal assessment was?
a) Always fair b) Sometimes unfair
c) Usually unfair d) Sometimes fair
10. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a) Regularly / intime b) With helpful comment
c) Often / late d) Without any comments
11. Were your assignments discussed with you?
a) Yes Fully b) Yes, Partly
c) Not discussed at all d) Sometimes discussed
12. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for improvement, please write them in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

PRINCIPAL
HKE'S Smt. C.B. Patil
Arts & Commerce Degree College
Chincholi, Kalaburagi

HKE Society'S
Smt. C.B. Patil Arts And Commerce Degree College

Student overall Evaluation of the programme and teaching

Teacher: Sunida bai

Course: B.A. Sem

Department: Economics

Year: 2017-18

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.

1. The syllabus of each course was
a) Adequate b) Inadequate c) Challenging d) Dull
2. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a) Easy b) Manageable c) Difficult d) Very difficult
3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) Less than 55%
4. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
a) More than Adequate b) Adequate
c) Inadequate d) Very poor
5. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?
a) Thoroughly b) Satisfactorily c) Poorly d) Indifferently
6. Which of the following methods were used?
a) Encouraged to raise questions b) Get involved in discussion in class
c) Encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
7. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
a) Very Helpful b) Sometimes helpful
c) Not at all helpful d) did not advise
8. The teacher's approach can best be described as?
a) Always courteous b) Sometimes rude
c) Always indifferent d) cannot say
9. Internal assessment was?
a) Always fair b) Sometimes unfair
c) Usually unfair d) Sometimes fair
10. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a) Regularly / intime b) With helpful comment
c) Often / late d) Without any comments
11. Were your assignments discussed with you?
a) Yes Fully b) Yes, Partly
c) Not discussed at all d) Sometimes discussed

12. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

HKE'S Smt. C.B. Patil Arts & Commerce
Degree College, Chincholi

teaching is good

"ATTESTED"

ವೈ.ಕೆ.ಪಿ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕ್ರೀಡಾ ಮತ್ತು ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ
ಸದರಿ ಕುಸುಮವಿಜಯ ಚಿಂಚೋಳಿ
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕಲಾಭವನ



Student overall Evaluation of the programme and teaching

Teacher: Roopakada. B.

Department: Commerce

Course: B.com. Bth

Year: 2017-18.

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.

1. The syllabus of each course was
a) Adequate b) Inadequate c) Challenging d) Dull
2. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a) Easy b) Manageable c) Difficult d) Very difficult
3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) Less than 55%
4. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
a) More than Adequate b) Adequate
c) Inadequate d) Very poor
5. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?
a) Thoroughly b) Satisfactorily c) Poorly d) Indifferently
6. Which of the following methods were used?
a) Encouraged to raise questions b) Get involved in discussion in class
c) Encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
7. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
a) Very Helpful b) Sometimes helpful
c) Not at all helpful d) did not advise
8. The teacher's approach can best be described as?
a) Always courteous b) Sometimes rude
c) Always indifferent d) cannot say
9. Internal assessment was?
a) Always fair b) Sometimes unfair
c) Usually unfair d) Sometimes fair
10. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a) Regularly / intime b) With helpful comment
c) Often / late d) Without any comments
11. Were your assignments discussed with you?
a) Yes Fully b) Yes, Partly
c) Not discussed at all d) Sometimes discussed
12. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.